

**From:** [Ron](#)  
**To:** [Osborne, Jenelle](#); [Ball, Jeremy](#); [Cordova, Gilda](#); [Starbuck, Dirk](#); [Vega, Victor](#)  
**Cc:** [Albro, Dean](#); [Haddon, Stacey](#)  
**Subject:** Public comment for Item #11 on the April 5th City Council agenda  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:52:47 AM

---

Public comment for Item #11, Place Limits on Public Access and Use of City Owned and Controlled Properties on the April 5<sup>th</sup> City Council agenda.

The 3 parcels that are to be fenced won't "solve the problem". It will simply restrict access to part of the airfield approach zone and may help the pet lodge, Lemo's and the yogurt shop. Meanwhile the open space east of these parcels and the access from the north to the riverbed homeless camps is still wide open.

I don't know what type of fencing the City plans to install, but I would suggest that the homeless population has frequently breached a variety of fencing placed around private properties in recent years. It is not beyond belief that they will do the same with city installed fencing if they still want access to this area.

Let's face facts, by ignoring the homeless infestation for decades local governments have created a perfect storm of neglect and blight. I understand the concern of business owner/operators in this and other areas of the city, but using this approach is akin to placing a band aid on a hemorrhage.

This project is an activity and not a solution to the bigger issue.

Ron Fink  
Lompoc