

**From:** [Ron](#)  
**To:** [Osborne, Jenelle](#); [Ball, Jeremy](#); [Cordova, Gilda](#); [Starbuck, Dirk](#); [Vega, Victor](#)  
**Cc:** [Throop, Jim](#); [Lawson, Stacy](#); [Haddon, Stacey](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Letter to Opposition to AB 377  
**Date:** Sunday, May 02, 2021 9:29:29 AM

---

Public comment and a written communication concerning Item #8, “Consideration of Letter of Opposition to AB 377 (Rivas) Water Quality: Impaired Waters” on the May 4<sup>th</sup> City Council agenda.

I support opposition to AB 377. As pointed out in the staff report this is poorly thought out legislation with largely unattainable goals. To suggest that this legislation would make either the Santa Ynez or San Miguelito Creek “fishable and swimmable by 2050” as the language of the Bill implies is laughable.

The Building Industry Association has concern too. “The Act is outright not feasible in the timeline proposed and suggests all other existing state water programs are ineffective, needing replacement. While standards should be upheld in terms of water runoff at construction sites and new building, this bill does not take into consideration the constant evolution of water quality standards and the rigorous process involved. This bill will increase costs for builders and eventually trickle down to a rise in cost for homeowners and HOA fees.” <https://biasc.org/blog-posts/california-clean-water-act-restrictions-price-hikes-and-a-fundamental-change-to-building/>

Ron Fink  
Lompoc