

**From:** [Ron](#)  
**To:** [Dirk Starbuck](#); [Jeremy Ball](#); [Cordova, Gilda](#); [Osborne, Jenelle](#); [v\\_d\\_vega@yahoo.com](#)  
**Cc:** [Haddon, Stacey](#); [jmalawy@awattorneys.com](#); [Throop, Jim](#)  
**Subject:** Public comment concerning item #6 on the December 1st Agenda  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 01, 2020 9:28:52 AM

---

Please accept this as public comment and a written communication concerning Item #6 on the December 1<sup>st</sup> Agenda.

The November 2020 election completed the conversion to District representation in the City of Lompoc. It's my understanding that the District concept was supposed to produce a true representation of a councilmembers geographic district.

I don't know where the prospective appointee for this appointment lives, but if it isn't in Councilman Starbuck's District then I would suggest that you continue this item until the following discussion and ultimate decision has been made.

The City Council should discuss, with the assistance of the City Attorney, their understanding of the District representation process. The primary point is should all councilmember appointees be from the same District that the councilmember represents except for the Mayor who is elected at large? Or, is it acceptable to continue with at large appointments.

Ron Fink  
Lompoc