

RESOLUTION NO. 442 (05) B

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LOMPOC RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL DENY ZONE CHANGE (ZC 04-07) FOR THE CROWN LAUREL PROJECT

WHEREAS, a request was received from Matt Woodruff representing JM Development, Inc., for consideration of a proposal to amend the City's Zoning Map for the Crown Laurel project located at the northeast corner of Laurel Avenue and V Street. The request is to amend the City's Zoning Map designation from *Commercial Industrial (CM)* to *Medium Density Residential, Planned Development (R-2, PD)* on 9.53 acres and *Planned Manufacturing (PM)* on 1.36 acres (Assessor Parcel Number: 89-200-29); and

WHEREAS, the matter was considered by the Planning Commission at a duly-noticed public meeting on October 10, 2005; and

WHEREAS, at the meeting of October 10, 2005, _____ was present, and answered Planning Commissioners' questions and addressed their concerns; and

WHEREAS, at the meeting of October 10, 2005, _____ spoke in favor of, and _____ spoke in opposition to, the project; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has recommended City Council certification of the Mitigated Negative Declaration (SCH No. 2005081085) for the project as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

NOW, THEREFORE, THE LOMPOC PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: The Planning Commission has adopted Resolution No. 441 (05) recommending that the City Council deny the associated General Plan Amendment for the project site; therefore, the Planning Commission also recommends that the City Council retain the current zoning designation of Commercial Industrial (CM) for consistency.

SECTION 2: The Planning Commission resolves that this resolution shall be forwarded to the City Council, pursuant to Section 8887.3c of the Lompoc City Code. The Council is not required to take further action unless a written appeal of the Planning Commission action is filed within ten days of the Planning Commission recommendation to the City Council.

The foregoing Resolution, on motion by Commissioner _____, seconded by Commissioner _____, was adopted at the Planning Commission meeting of October 10, 2005 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

Arleen T. Pelster, AICP, Secretary

Jack Rodenhi, Chair